

“Peering thru the Fog”; a  
personal review of some patent  
issues related to SAP

Ian Davenport

[ian@davenport.com](mailto:ian@davenport.com)

[www.davenportinternational.com](http://www.davenportinternational.com)

917-605-1174



**Why Ian on IP?**

**Why not discuss supply?**

**At INDEX14 in Geneva a major SAP producer, when asked by potential customers for details of a much trumpeted new improvement said “why not look at the patent literature?”**

**Why this approach can be misleading as well as helpful...with a historic review of some patents and their application to SAP & diaper production.**

# **Consider**

**1. SAP patents**

**2. Milestone patents**

**3. What big improvement?**

- **SAP is heavily patented**

**Process**

**Product**

**Application**

**Who should own what IP?**

<u>SAP Producer</u>	<u>Diaper Maker</u>
<b>SAP production process</b>	
<i>SAP properties</i>	<i>SAP properties</i>
	<b>Diaper design</b>

**This assumes that in a collaboration, the majority of SAP property & process development is done by the SAP producer and vice versa for hygiene articles**

# Quick overview

**Process**

**Product**

**Application**

# **1) PROCESS**

**Reactor type**

**Reaction conditions**

**Surface treating**

**Addition of additives**

**Reduction of residual monomer**



## **2) PRODUCT PROPERTIES**

**PSD = Small or Large**

**Speed of Absorption = Fast or Slow**

**Odor reducing**

**AUL**

**Absorbency Index**

**Permeability**

**SFC**

# 3) APPLICATION

**Baby Diaper**

**Adult Inco**

**Femcare**

**all categorized as ‘absorbent articles’**

*Far too numerous to mention*

# QUICK SEARCHES:

**USPO only since 1976 - keywords**

<b>KEYWORDS</b>	<b>HITS</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT</b>	<b>6012</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT + PROCESS</b>	<b>4892</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT + ACRYLIC + PROCESS</b>	<b>2688</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT + POLYMER</b>	<b>4788</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT + ACRYLIC + POLYMER</b>	<b>2818</b>

# **IT'S NOT VERY SCIENTIFIC:**

**I had expected more!**

**There are many false hits**

**There is duplication**

**But a trend is clear**

**And there is a fit; at one point in late 80's we were monitoring 30+ filings a month at Dow**

<b>KEYWORDS</b>	<b>HITS</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT</b>	<b>6012</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT + ACRYLIC + APPLICATION</b>	<b>2838</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT + ACRYLIC + ARTICLE</b>	<b>1898</b>
<b>SUPERABSORBENT + ACRYLIC + DIAPER</b>	<b>1525</b>

<b>ASSIGNEES</b>	<b>HITS</b>
<b>P&amp;G</b>	<b>612</b>
<b>K-C</b>	<b>856</b>
<b>SCA HYGIENE</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>UNICHARM</b>	<b>29</b>

<b>ASSIGNEES</b>	<b>HITS</b>
<b>DOW</b>	<b>992</b>
<b>STOCKHAUSEN</b>	<b>765</b>
<b>BASF</b>	<b>629</b>
<b>EVONIK</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>SHOKUBAI</b>	<b>133</b>



<b>ASSIGNEES</b>	<b>HITS</b>
<b>CHEMDAL</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>SUMITOMO</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>LG CHEM</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>KOLON</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>SANYO (mostly application)</b>	<b>137</b>

# **WHY PATENT?**

**(a) Use technology to gain commercial advantage**

- **Improved product**
- **Lower cost**

**(b) Block or restrict competitors**

**(c) Gain leverage**

- **Future cross license**

# **PATENTS THAT CAUSE(D) PAIN IN THE INDUSTRY**

**Merely a selection; not exhaustive, and no opinion is presented on validity or otherwise...**

# Selected Influential Patents

DATE	AUTHOR	ASSIGNED	PATENT	TOPIC
1966 (filed May 31 <sup>st</sup> )	Harper et al	Dow	3,669,103	Absorbent product containing a hydrocolloidal composition
1966 (filed May 20 <sup>th</sup> )	Harmon et al	J&J	3,670,731	Absorbent product containing a hydrocolloidal composition

# Selected Influential Patents

DATE	AUTHOR	ASSIGNED	PATENT	TOPIC
1985	Brandt et al	P&G	4,654,039	Improved gel volume & gel strength polymers
1988	Kellenberger et al	K-C	5,147,343	Absorbent products containing hydrogels with ability to swell against pressure

# Selected Influential Patents(2)

<b>DATE</b>	<b>AUTHOR</b>	<b>ASSIGNED</b>	<b>PATENT</b>	<b>TOPIC</b>
<b>1990</b>	<b>Goldman et al</b>	<b>P&amp;G</b>	<b>5,061,259</b>	<b>400-700 micron preferred PSD</b>
<b>1993</b>	<b>Melius et al</b>	<b>K-C</b>	<b>5,601,542</b>	<b>Pressure Absorbency Index</b>
<b>1994</b>	<b>Goldman et al</b>	<b>P&amp;G</b>	<b>5,599,335</b>	<b>SFC &gt; 30 &amp; Performance Under Pressure</b>

**AND NOW BACK TO THE  
ORIGINAL QUESTION  
THAT PROMPTED THIS  
PAPER...**

**OR, WHAT COMES  
NEXT?**

# PRODUCER 'A' IP STRATEGY

- Patent everything possible



# 'A' SAP PROCESSES

<b>PROCESS</b>	<b>SOURCE</b>	<b>LOCATIONS</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
<b>Batch Kneader reactor</b>	<b>In house (1980's)</b>	<b>Germany</b>	<b>Closed</b>
<b>Kneader Reactor</b>	<b>In License</b>	<b>Germany</b>	<b>Special products only</b>
<b>Bulk Batch</b>	<b>Acquisition</b>	<b>USA</b>	<b>Now Closed</b>
<b>Continuous Belt</b>	<b>Acquisition</b>	<b>USA UK Thailand</b>	<b>Closed Burned down Running</b>
<b>Continuous Kneader</b>	<b>In house (late 90's)</b>	<b>Europe USA China</b>	<b>Running</b>

**What patents might be linked to these different processes?**

# 'A' SAP PROCESS PATENTS(1)

<b>PROCESS</b>	<b>SOURCE</b>	<b>YEAR</b>	<b>AUTHOR</b>	<b>US #</b>
<b>Kneader reactor</b>	<b>In house</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>Hennig et al</b>	<b>4729877</b>
<b>Single Kneader Reactor</b>	<b>In house</b>	<b>1988</b>	<b>Nowakowsky et al</b>	<b>4769427</b>
<b>Bulk Batch</b>	<b>Acquisition</b>	<b>1989</b>	<b>Alexander et al</b>	<b>4820742</b>
<b>Double Kneader</b>	<b>In house</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>Heide et al</b>	<b>WO/2001/038402</b>
<b>Continuous Kneader</b>	<b>In house</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>Steuven et al</b>	<b>2008/0004408</b>

**Those are probably all too old to  
be the references for the recent  
announcement...**

**So let us examine more recent  
literature...**

# 'A' SAP PROCESS PATENTS(2)

<b>SAP PROCESS</b>	<b>YEAR</b>	<b>AUTHOR</b>	<b>US #</b>
<b>Droplet Polymer</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>Loesch et al</b>	<b>8,529,805</b>
<b>Radiation Initiated Conti Belt</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>Weismantel et al</b>	<b>8,546,461</b>
<b>Novel forced air drying</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>Weismantel et al Funk et al</b>	<b>8,592,516 8,541,528</b>
<b>Use of conveying screw for solid additives</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>Funk et al</b>	<b>8,633,285</b>

## **CONCLUSION ON 'A':**

- **Future possible switch from dual kneader to belt reactor with novel drying process**
- **Probable lower unit capital**
- **Potential for improved SAP properties**

**If 'A' goes ahead:**

- Reinvention for improvement is brave but...how can they get acceptable ROI on existing global production units which are relatively new?**
- Will new technology be limited to niche new SAP products?**

# **Finally, what of SAP supply?**

- Expansions continue!**
- Demand growth globally around 6%**
- Plenty of SAP**
- Watch this space for details**



# THANK YOU – ANY QUESTIONS?

## Ian Davenport

**Note:**

These are the personal views of the presenter. We thank all who contribute information and invite others to participate by contacting Ian Davenport at any time.

**ian@idavenport.com**  
**www.davenportinternational.com**  
**917 605 1174**

